arXiv:1701.08956v1 [math.MG] 31 Jan 2017

MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM OPERATORS OF CONVEX INTEGRANDS

HUHE HAN

ABSTRACT. For given convex integrands $\gamma_i : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ (where $i = 1, 2$), the functions γ_{max} and γ_{min} can be defined as natural way. In this paper, we show that the Wulff shape of γ_{max} (resp. the Wulff shape of γ_{min}) is exactly the convex hull of $(\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2})$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let n be a positive integer. Given a continuous function $\gamma : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, where $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the unit sphere and $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the set consisting of positive real numbers, the *Wulff shape associated with* γ, denoted by $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$, is the following intersection (see Figure 1),

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma = \bigcap_{\theta \in S^n} \Gamma_{\gamma,\theta}.$$

Here, $\Gamma_{\gamma,\theta}$ is the following half-space:

$$\Gamma_{\gamma,\theta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \cdot \theta \leq \gamma(\theta)\},$$

where the dot in the center stands for the dot product of two vectors $x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. This construction is well-known as Wulff's construction of an equilibrium crystal



FIGURE 1. A Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$.

introduced by G. Wulff in [13] (for details on Wulff shapes, see for instance [2, 7, 10, 11, 12])

For a continuous function $\gamma : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$, set

$$\text{graph}(\gamma) = \{(\theta, \gamma(\theta)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\} \mid \theta \in S^n\},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A20, 52A55, 82D25.
Key words and phrases. convex integrand, maximum and minimum, Wulff shape.

where $(\theta, \gamma(\theta))$ is the polar plot expression for a point of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\}$. The mapping $\mathrm{inv} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - \{0\}$, defined as follows, is called the *inversion* with respect to the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

$$\mathrm{inv}(\theta, r) = \left(-\theta, \frac{1}{r}\right).$$

Let Γ_γ be the boundary of the convex hull of $\mathrm{inv}(\mathrm{graph}(\gamma))$. If the equality $\Gamma_\gamma = \mathrm{inv}(\mathrm{graph}(\gamma))$ is satisfied, then γ is called a *convex integrand* (see Figure 2). The



FIGURE 2. A convex integrand and its inversion.

notion of convex integrand was first introduced by J. Taylor in [11] and it plays a key role for studying Wulff shapes (for details on convex integrands, see for instance [1, 4, 7]). For given convex integrands γ_1, γ_2, define γ_{max} and γ_{min} as natural way.

$$\gamma_{max} : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_{max}(\theta) = \max\{\gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)\}.$$

$$\gamma_{min} : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+, \gamma_{min}(\theta) = \min\{\gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)\}.$$

Then the question naturally arises "What is the relationship between $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}$ (or $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}$)? How are they related ?". The main result of this paper is as follows (see Figure 3).

Theorem 1. *Let γ_1 and γ_2 be convex integrands. Then the following two equalities are holds.*

(1) $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}} =$ convex hull of the $(\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2})$.
(2) $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}} = \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$.

This paper organized as follows. In section 2, the preliminaries are given, and the proof of Theorem 1 and related topics are given in section 3 and section 4 respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Spherical convex body.** For any point $\widetilde{P} \in S^{n+1}$, let $H(\widetilde{P})$ be the hemisphere centered at $\widetilde{P}$,

$$H(\widetilde{P}) = \{\widetilde{Q} \in S^{n+1} \mid \widetilde{P} \cdot \widetilde{Q} \geq 0\}.$$



FIGURE 3. An illustration of Theorem 1. Left top : a Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}$, right top : a Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$, left bottom : the Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}$, right bottom : the Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}$.

where the dot in the center stands for the scalar product of two vectors $\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. For any non-empty subset $\widetilde{W} \subset S^{n+1}$, the *spherical polar set of* $\widetilde{W}$, denoted by $\widetilde{W}^\circ$, is defined as follows:

$$\widetilde{W}^\circ = \bigcap_{\widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{W}} H(\widetilde{P}).$$

Definition 1 ([9])**.** Let $\widetilde{W}$ be a subset of S^{n+1}. Suppose that there exists a point $\widetilde{P} \in S^{n+1}$ such that $\widetilde{W} \cap H(\widetilde{P}) = \emptyset$. Then, $\widetilde{W}$ is said to be *hemispherical*.

Let $\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{Q}$ be two points of S^{n+1} such that $(1-t)\widetilde{P} + t\widetilde{Q}$ is not the zero vector for any $t \in [0,1]$. Then, the following arc is denoted by $\widetilde{P}\widetilde{Q}$:

$$\widetilde{P}\widetilde{Q} = \left\{ \frac{(1-t)\widetilde{P} + t\widetilde{Q}}{||(1-t)\widetilde{P} + t\widetilde{Q}||} \in S^{n+1} \;\middle|\; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Definition 2 ([9])**.** Let $\widetilde{W} \subset S^{n+1}$ be a hemispherical subset.

(1) Suppose that $\widetilde{P}\widetilde{Q} \subset \widetilde{W}$ for any $\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{Q} \in \widetilde{W}$. Then, $\widetilde{W}$ is said to be *spherical convex*.

(2) Suppose that $\widetilde{W}$ is closed, spherical convex and has an interior point. Then, $\widetilde{W}$ is said to be a *spherical convex body*.

Definition 3 ([9]). Let $\widetilde{W}$ be a hemispherical subset of S^{n+1}. Then, the following set, denoted by s-conv($\widetilde{W}$), is called the *spherical convex hull of* $\widetilde{W}$.

$$\text{s-conv}(\widetilde{W}) = \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i \widetilde{P}_i}{||\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i \widetilde{P}_i||} \;\middle|\; \widetilde{P}_i \in \widetilde{W},\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i = 1,\ t_i \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). *For any hemispherical subset $\widetilde{W}$ of S^{n+1}, the spherical convex hull of $\widetilde{W}$ is the smallest spherical convex set containing $\widetilde{W}$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([9]). *Let $\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_2 \subset S^{n+1}$. If $\widetilde{W}_1$ is a subset of $\widetilde{W}_2$, then $\widetilde{W}_2^\circ$ is a subset of $\widetilde{W}_1^\circ$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). *For any subset $\widetilde{W}$ of S^{n+1}, the inclusion $\widetilde{W} \subset \widetilde{W}^{\circ\circ}$ holds.*

Especially, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1 ([9]). *For any non-empty closed hemispherical subset $\widetilde{W} \subset S^{n+1}$, the equality* s-conv($\widetilde{W}$) = (s-conv($\widetilde{W}$))$^{\circ\circ}$ *holds.*

Lemma 2.4 (Maehara's lemma ([6, 9])). *For any hemispherical finite subset $\widetilde{W} = \{\widetilde{P}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{P}_k\} \subset S^{n+1}$, the following holds:*

$$\left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i \widetilde{P}_i}{||\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i \widetilde{P}_i||} \;\middle|\; \widetilde{P}_i \in X,\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_i = 1,\ t_i \geq 0 \right\}^{\circ} = H(\widetilde{P}_1) \cap \cdots \cap H(\widetilde{P}_k).$$

Maeara's lemma was first given in [6], which is a useful method to study spherical Wulff shapes. For English details, see for instance [9].

2.2. **An equivalent definition.** In [9], an equivalent definition of Wulff shape was given, which composed by following mappings.

1. Mapping $Id : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \{1\}$

The mapping Id defined by $Id(x) = (x, 1)$.

2. Central projection $\alpha_N : S_{N,+}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \{1\}$

Denote the point $(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ by N. The set $S^{n+1} - H(-N)$ is denoted by $S_{N,+}^{n+1}$. Let $\alpha_N : S_{N,+}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \{1\}$ be the central projection relative to N, namely, α_N is defined as follows for any $(P_1, \ldots, P_{n+1}, P_{n+2}) \in S_{N,+}^{n+1}$:

$$\alpha_N(P_1, \ldots, P_{n+1}, P_{n+2}) = \left(\frac{P_1}{P_{n+2}}, \ldots, \frac{P_{n+1}}{P_{n+2}}, 1 \right).$$

Through out remainder of this paper, let $\widetilde{X} = \alpha^{-1} \circ Id(X)$ for any non-empty subset X of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For any Wulfff shape $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the spherical convex body $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} = \alpha^{-1} \circ Id(\mathcal{W})$ called *spherical Wulff shape of* $\mathcal{W}$. Then the following two are equivalent.

(1) $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is a spherical Wulff shape.
(2) $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is a spherical convex body such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \cap H(-N) = \emptyset$ and N is an interior point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

3. Spherical blow-up $\Psi_N : S^{n+1} - \{\pm N\} \to S^{n+1}_{N,+}$

Next, we consider the mapping $\Psi_N : S^{n+1} - \{\pm N\} \to S^{n+1}_{N,+}$ defined by

$$\Psi_N(\widetilde{P}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-(N\cdot P)^2}}(N - (N\cdot\widetilde{P})\widetilde{P}).$$

The mapping Ψ_N was first introduced in [8], has the following intriguing properties:

(1) For any $\widetilde{P} \in S^{n+1} - \{\pm N\}$, the equality $\widetilde{P}\cdot\Psi_N(\widetilde{P}) = 0$ holds,
(2) for any $\widetilde{P} \in S^{n+1} - \{\pm N\}$, the property $\Psi_N(\widetilde{P}) \in \mathbb{R}N + \mathbb{R}\widetilde{P}$ holds,
(3) for any $\widetilde{P} \in S^{n+1} - \{\pm N\}$, the property $N\cdot\Psi_N(\widetilde{P}) > 0$ holds,
(4) the restriction $\Psi_N|_{S^{n+1}_{N,+}-\{N\}} : S^{n+1}_{N,+} - \{N\} \to S^{n+1}_{N,+} - \{N\}$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism.

4. Spherical polar transform $\bigcirc : \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1}) \to \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$

Let $\mathcal{H}(S^{n+1})$ be the set consisting of non-empty compact set of S^{n+1}. It is clear that the spherical polar set of S^{n+1} is the empty set. Let $\mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{H}(S^{n+1})$ defined as follows.

$$\mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1}) = \{\widetilde{W} \in H(S^{n+1}) \mid \widetilde{W}^\circ \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The spherical polar transform $\bigcirc : \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1}) \to \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$, defined by $\bigcirc(\widetilde{W}) = \widetilde{W}^\circ$. Since $\widetilde{W} \subset \widetilde{W}^{\circ\circ}$ for any $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$, by Lemma 2.3, we know that $\widetilde{W}^\circ \in \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$ for any $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{H}^\circ(S^{n+1})$. Thus, the spherical polar transform is well-defined. It is known that the spherical polar transform is Lipchitz with respect to the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance([3]). Moreover, the restriction of the spherical polar transform to the set consisting of spherical Wulff shape relative to $\widetilde{P}$ (see Definition 5) is an isometry with respect to the Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance ([3]).

Proposition 2 ([9]). *Let $\gamma : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function. Then, $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ is characterized as follows:*

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma = Id^{-1}\circ\alpha_N\left(\left(\Psi_N\circ\alpha_N^{-1}\circ Id\,(\mathrm{graph}(\gamma))\right)^\circ\right).$$

Proposition 3 ([9]). *For any Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$, the following set, too, is a Wulff shape:*

$$Id^{-1}\circ\alpha_N\left(\left(\alpha_N^{-1}\circ Id\,(\mathcal{W}_\gamma)\right)^\circ\right).$$

Definition 4 ([9]). For any Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$, the Wulff shape given in Proposition 3 is called the *dual Wulff shape* of $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_\gamma$.

By Proposition 3, the following definition is reasonable.

Definition 5 ([9]). Let $\widetilde{P}$ be a point of S^{n+1}.

(1) A spherical convex body $\widetilde{W}$ such that $\widetilde{W} \cap H(-\widetilde{P}) = \emptyset$ and $\widetilde{P} \in \mathrm{int}(\widetilde{W})$ are satisfied is called a *spherical Wulff shape* relative to $\widetilde{P}$.
(2) Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ be a spherical Wulff shape relative to $\widetilde{P}$. Then, the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\circ$ is called the *spherical dual Wulff shape* of the spherical Wulff shape $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ relative to $\widetilde{P}$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.

Proposition 4 ([9]). *Let $\gamma : S^n \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a convex integrand. Let $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ be the dual Wulff shape of $\mathcal{W}_\gamma$. Then the boundary of the $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ is exactly $\Gamma_\gamma = inv(graph(\gamma))$.*

The Proposition 2, gives a new powerful spherical method to study Wulff shape. For example, the self-dual Wulff shape $\mathcal{W}_\gamma = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ can be characterized by the induced spherical convex body of constant width $\pi/2$([5]), for related topics about spherical method see also [3, 4], for instance.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of assertion (1). By Proposition 2, Proposition 4 and Maehara's lemma, for Wulff shape of $\gamma_{_{max}}$ the following is hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{_{max}}} &= Id^{-1} \circ \alpha_N \left(\left(\Psi_N \circ \alpha_N^{-1} \circ Id(\mathrm{graph}(\gamma_{_{max}})) \right)^\circ \right) \\
&= Id^{-1} \circ \alpha_N \left((\partial(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}))^\circ \right) \\
(*) \qquad &= Id^{-1} \circ \alpha_N \left((\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$ is the boundary of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$. Thus it is sufficient to prove the following.

$$(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ = \text{s-conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}).$$

First, we show that $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$ is a subset of s-conv$(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$. By Maehara's lemma, the following equality hold.

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ = \left(\text{s-conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}) \right)^\circ.$$

By Lemma 2.2, it is sufficient to prove that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$ is a subset of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$. Let $\widetilde{P}$ be a point of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. Then it follows that,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \subset H(\widetilde{P}) \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2} \subset H(\widetilde{P}).$$

Thus $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\circ_{\gamma_1} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^\circ_{\gamma_2} = \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$.

Next, we show that s-conv$(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$ is a subset of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. Since $\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}$, we have that

$$(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}) \subset \widetilde{W}^\circ_{\gamma_1}$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} = \widetilde{W}^{\circ\circ}_{\gamma_1} \subset (\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ.$$

In the same way, it follows that

$$\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2} \subset (\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ.$$

Since s-conv$(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})$ is the smallest convex body containing $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$ and $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$ is a convex body, it follows that

$$\text{s-conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}) \subset (\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cap \mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ.$$

Proof of assertion (2). By Proposition 2, Proposition 4 and Maehara's lemma, for Wulff shape of γ_{min} the following hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}} &= Id^{-1}\circ\alpha_N\left((\Psi_N\circ\alpha_N^{-1}\circ Id(\mathrm{graph}(\gamma_{min})))^\circ\right)\\ &= Id^{-1}\circ\alpha_N\left((\partial(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}))^\circ\right)\\ (**)\qquad &= Id^{-1}\circ\alpha_N\left((\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus it is sufficient to prove the following.

$$(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ=\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}.$$

First, we show that $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$ is a subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$. Let $\widetilde{P}$ be a point of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. Then $\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}$ is a subset of $H(\widetilde{P})$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{P}\in(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1})^\circ=\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}$$

In the same way, we have that $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$. Thus $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$.

Next, we show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. Let $\widetilde{P}$ be a point of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$ are spherical convex bodies, by Proposition 1, it follows that

$$\widetilde{P}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}=\bigcap_{\widetilde{Q}\in\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}}H(\widetilde{Q})\text{ and }\widetilde{P}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}=\bigcap_{\widetilde{Q}\in\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}}H(\widetilde{Q}).$$

This implies

$$\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\subset H(\widetilde{P})\text{ and }\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}\subset H(\widetilde{P}).$$

Then it follows that $\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of $H(\widetilde{P})$. By definition of spherical polar set, $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. □

Remark: Usually, for given convex integrands γ_1 and γ_2, the inversion of $\mathrm{graph}(\gamma_{min})$ with respect to the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is does not equivalent to $\Gamma_{\gamma_{min}}$. Thus the function γ_{min} is not convex integrand in general case.On the other hand, the inversion of $\mathrm{graph}(\gamma_{max})$ with respect to the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is exactly $\Gamma_{\gamma_{max}}$, this implies γ_{max} is a convex integrand.

4. More topics on maximum and minimum operators

For given convex integrands γ_1 and γ_2, by $(*)$ and $(**)$ of proof of Theorem 1, we have the following relation between $\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}$, $\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}$, (resp. $\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}$, $\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}$).

(1) $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}=\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}\cap\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2})$.
(2) $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}=\mathcal{D}\left(\text{convex hull of }(\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2})\right)$.

Since $\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}\cap\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of the convex hull of $(\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{DW}_{\gamma_2})$, by Lemma 2.2, it follows that $\left(\text{s-conv }(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cup\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})\right)^\circ$ is a subset of $(\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1}\cap\mathcal{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. This implies $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}$ is a subset of dual of the $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}$. Moreover, as a corollary, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1},\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$ be dual Wulff shapes. Then $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{max}}$ is the dual Wulff shape of $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_{min}}$.*

Proof. Since $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$ and $\left(\text{s-conv}(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\gamma_2})\right)^\circ$ are same convex body, by Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2})^\circ = \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2}.$$

Let $\widetilde{P}$ be a point of $(\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. Then $\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of $H(\widetilde{P})$. It follows that $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $\widetilde{W}^\circ_{\gamma_1} \cap \widetilde{W}^\circ_{\gamma_2}$. Since $\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1}$ is the dual of $\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2}$, we have that

$$\widetilde{P} \in \widetilde{W}^\circ_{\gamma_1} \cap \widetilde{W}^\circ_{\gamma_2} = \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2} \cap \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1}.$$

On the other hand, since Wulff shapes $\mathcal{W}_{\gamma_1}, \mathcal{W}_{\gamma_2}$ are duals, we have that $\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2}$ is a subset of $H(\widetilde{P})$, for any point $\widetilde{P}$ of $\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cap \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2}$. This implies $\widetilde{P}$ is a point of $(\widetilde{W}_{\gamma_1} \cup \widetilde{W}_{\gamma_2})^\circ$. □

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his sincere appreciation to Takashi Nishimura, for his kind advice.

References

[1] E. B. Batista, H. Han and T. Nishimura, *Stability of C^∞ convex integrands*, to appear in Kyushu Journal of Mathematics.
[2] Y. Giga, *Surface Evolution Equations*, Monographs of Mathematics, **99**, Springer, 2006.
[3] H. Han and T. Nishimura, *The spherical dual transform is an isometry for spherical Wulff shapes*, to appear in Studia Mathematica.
[4] H. Han and T. Nishimura, *Strictly convex Wulff shapes and C^1 convex integrands*, to appear in Proccedings of AMS.
[5] H. Han and T. Nishimura, *Self dual Wulff shapes and spherical convex bodies of constant width $\pi/2$*, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
[6] H. Maehara, *Geometry of Circles and Spheres*, Asakura Publishing, 1998 (in Japanese).
[7] F. Morgan, *The cone over the Clifford torus in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is Φ-minimizing*, Math. Ann., **289** (1991), 341–354.
[8] T. Nishimura, *Normal forms for singularities of pedal curves produced by non-singular dual curve germs in S^n*, Geom Dedicata **133**(2008), 59–66.
[9] T. Nishimura and Y. Sakemi, *Topological aspect of Wulff shapes*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **66** (2014), 89–109.
[10] A. Pimpinelli and J. Villain, *Physics of Crystal Growth*, Monographs and Texts in Statistical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge New York, 1998.
[11] J. E. Taylor, *Crystalline variational problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **84**(1978), 568–588.
[12] J. E. Taylor, J. W. Cahn and C. A. Handwerker, *Geometric models of crystal growth*, Acta Metallurgica et Materialia, **40**(1992), 1443–1474.
[13] G. Wulff, *Zur frage der geschwindindigkeit des wachstrums und der auflösung der krystallflachen*, Z. Kristallographine und Mineralogie, **34**(1901), 449–530.

Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences,Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan
E-mail address: han-huhe-bx@ynu.jp